

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/495,013	01/31/2000		Aloke Gupta	10001064-1	4256
22879	7590 07/07/2005		EXAMINER		
		ARD COMPANY	BRINICH, S	BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONÝ ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2624	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DATE MAILED:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/4950	13			EXAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER ·
				20050520

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/495,013	GUPTA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stephen M. Brinich	2624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro						
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	,							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part of the priority documents and the priority documents are copies of the priority documents and copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents.	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment	(s)		•					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (interview	e					

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Response filed 4/11/05, with respect to claims 1 & 18 (and dependent claims 3-10, 12-17, & 25-31 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 3-8, 14-18, & 31 under 35 USC §102 and the objection to claims 9-10, 12-13, & 24-30 have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Teng et al.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. Claim 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 16 does not indicate that the recited "storage medium" is a statutory product or process. Examiner suggests the recitation of a "computer readable medium" to clarify that the recited medium is statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the

Art Unit: 2624

invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Teng et al.

Re claim 17, Teng et al discloses (Figures 8-15; column 8, lines 34-59) an arrangement for printing one or more documents without opening an application associated with the documents. A user selects instructions printing from a computer by clicking on an icon (e.g. "Resume Document") in a graphical user interface. This graphical user interface takes the form of a HTML (i.e. web) page displayed in a web browser such as Microsoft Internet Explorer without an associated display of the documents to be printed. In response to this selection, the server retrieves the selected documents and sends them to a printing module for printing without requiring further user interaction and without displaying the documents to the user.

These operations constitute executing a subset of the instructions recited in claim 1 under the control of a processor executing stored instructions, as per claim 17.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 3-10, 12-15, 18, & 25-31 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2624

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As noted above, Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 & 18 (and dependent claims 3-10, 12-17, & 25-31 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306 (571-273-8300 as of July 15, 2005).

Application/Control Number: 09/495,013

Art Unit: 2624

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb **Sm/b** June 22, 2005